

UAB-FT-328  
February 1994

# LOOP REPRESENTATION OF THE PARTITION FUNCTION OF LATTICE $U(1)$ GAUGE THEORY

**J. M. Aroca**

Departament de Matemàtiques,  
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya,  
Escola Tècnica Superior d'Enginyers de Telecomunicació, E-08034  
Barcelona, Spain

and

**H. Fort**

Grup de Física Teòrica  
and  
Institut de Física d'Altes Energies  
Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona  
08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona) Spain

## **Abstract**

We introduce in a natural and straightforward way the *loop* (Lagrangian) *representation* for the partition function of pure compact lattice QED. The corresponding classical lattice loop action is proportional to the quadratic area of the loop world sheets. We discuss the parallelism between the *loop* description of this model in terms of world sheets of loops and the *topological* representation of the Higgs (broken) phase for the non-compact lattice QED in terms of world sheets of Nielsen-Olesen strings.

A unified quantum theory which describes the gauge fields and the gravitation is one of the main goals pursued by the physicists for long time. A good candidate for accomplishing this comprehensive framework is the *loop formalism*. This loop approach was introduced in the early eighties by Gambini and Trias [1] as a general analytical Hamiltonian approach based on the properties of the group of loops [2]. Some time ago, Ashtekar et al [3] showed how the quantum gravity can also be formulated in terms of loops.

The Hamiltonian techniques for gauge theories have been developed during the last decade and they provide interesting results for several models [4]-[7]. On the other hand a Lagrangian approach in terms of loops has been elusive, due mainly to the non-canonical character of the loop algebra. This feature forbids the possibility of performing a Legendre transformation as a straightforward way to obtain the Lagrangian from the Hamiltonian. A Lagrangian loop formulation will give rise to new computation techniques providing a useful complement to the Hamiltonian loop studies. Recently, Gambini et al [8] proposed a tentative classical action in terms of loop variables for the U(1) gauge theory. Shortly afterwards, we proved that the lattice version of this action is equivalent to Villain form for D=2+1 dimensions but is slightly different for D=3+1 dimensions [9]. In fact, this action written in terms of variables directly attached to spatial loops seems to fail in describing all the dynamical degrees of freedom for D=4.

In this letter we show how the loops, originally thought up within the Hamiltonian formalism, can be introduced in a natural and alternative way in the lattice statistical theory. We follow a different approach to that of reference [9]: starting with the Villain form of the action we obtain in a straightforward way a new representation of the partition function of 4D lattice U(1) as a sum of the world sheets of electric loops. We discuss the connection of this classical action of loops with the Nambu string action and we point out the parallelism of the loop representation for our model with the dual representation of the lattice Abelian Higgs in  $D = 4$ .

Our starting point is the partition function for the Villain form of the compact U(1) action which is given by

$$Z = \int (d\theta) \sum_n \exp(-\frac{\beta}{2} || \nabla \theta - 2\pi n ||^2) \quad (1)$$

We use the notations of the calculus of differential forms on the lattice of

[10], the same which were already used in reference [9] and which are briefly described in an Appendix. In the above expression,  $\theta$  is a real periodic 1-form, that is, a real number  $\theta_l \in [0, 2\pi]$  defined in each link of the lattice;  $\nabla$  is the co-border operator;  $n$  are integer 2-forms, defined at the lattice plaquettes, and  $|| \cdot ||^2 = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ .

If we use the Poisson summation formula  $\sum_n f(n) = \sum_s \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\phi f(\phi) e^{2\pi i \phi s}$  and we integrate the continuum  $\phi$  variables we get

$$Z = (2\pi\beta)^{-N_p/2} \int (d\theta) \sum_s \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\beta} \langle s, s \rangle + i \langle s, \nabla\theta \rangle\right) \quad (2)$$

where  $N_p$  is the number of plaquettes of the lattice. We can use the equality:  $\langle s, \nabla\theta \rangle = \langle \partial s, \theta \rangle$  and integrating over  $\theta$  we obtain a  $\delta(\partial s)$ . Then,

$$Z = (2\pi\beta)^{-N_p/2} \sum_{\substack{s \\ (\partial s = 0)}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\beta} \langle s, s \rangle\right) \quad (3)$$

where  $s$  are integer 2-forms. The condition  $\partial s = 0$  means that we consider only closed surfaces made of plaquettes with multiplicity equal to  $s \in Z$ . If we consider the intersection of one of such surfaces with a  $t = \text{constant}$  plane we get an electric loop. In other words, we have arrived to an expression of the partition function for compact electrodynamics in terms of the world sheets of loops: the *loop* (Lagrangian) representation. There are other equivalent representations which can be obtained from the Villain form. First, we have the *dual* representation [11] obtained essentially by using the Poisson identity and then performing a duality transformation. Actually, the loop representation for the compact U(1) gauge model is reached following this procedure but stopping before the duality transformation. Second, for any lattice theory with Abelian compact variables, the *topological* or *BKT* (for Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless) representation [12] via the ‘*Banks – Kogut – Myerson*’ transformation [13]. The *BKT* expression for the partition function of a lattice theory with Abelian compact variables is given by

$$Z \propto \sum_{\substack{*t \\ (\partial * t = 0)}} \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi^2}{g^2} \langle *t, \hat{\Delta} * t \rangle\right) \quad (4)$$

i.e. a sum over closed  $(D-k-2)$  forms  $*t$  attached to the cells  $c_{(D-k-2)}^*$  of the dual lattice representing the topological objects (one sort of topological excitation for each compact variable), and where  $\hat{\Delta}$  represents the propagator operator. In the case of compact electrodynamics,  $*t \equiv *m$  i.e. the topological objects are monopoles (particles for  $D=2+1$  and loops for  $D=3+1$ ) and  $\hat{\Delta} \equiv \frac{1}{\square}$ .

Returning to the loop representation of the partition function (equation (3)) we can observe that the loop action is proportional to the *quadratic area*

$$A_2 = \sum_{p \in S} n_p^2 = \langle s, s \rangle, \quad (5)$$

i.e. the sum of the squares of the multiplicities  $s_p$  of plaquettes which constitute the loop's world sheet  $S$ . It is interesting to note the similarity of this action with respect to the Nambu action in the continuum which is proportional to the area swept out by the bosonic string in four space-time dimensions. On the other hand, we know that in the continuum the classical action of the first example of a topological string-like soliton, the Nielsen-Olesen vortex, reduces to the Nambu action in the strong coupling limit [14]. The Nielsen-Olesen strings are static solutions of the Higgs Abelian model. When we compare the *loop*-representation of lattice compact pure *QED* and the *BKT*-representation of lattice *Higgs* non-compact *QED*<sup>1</sup> the analogy between both is patent. That is, in the case of *Higgs* non-compact *QED* model (a non compact gauge field  $A_\mu$  coupled to a scalar field  $\Phi = |\Phi|e^{i\phi}$ ) we have  $*t \equiv *\sigma$ , where  $*\sigma$  represents a 2-form which corresponds to the world sheet of the topological objects namely the Nielsen-Olesen strings [16] and  $\hat{\Delta} \equiv \frac{1}{\square + M^2}$  ( $M$  is the mass acquired by the gauge field due to the Higgs mechanism). Thus, both models consist in a sum over closed surfaces which are the world sheets of closed electric strings (loops) and closed magnetic strings (closed Nielsen-Olesen vortices) respectively. The corresponding lattice actions are quadratic in the world sheet variables in both cases. Moreover, the creation operator of both loops and N.O. strings is essentially the same: the Wilson loop operator [1] [16].

---

<sup>1</sup>We compare with the non-compact instead of the compact version because this last, in addition to Nielsen-Olesen strings, also has Dirac monopoles as topological solutions and then we have to consider open as much as closed world sheets (see reference [15]).

An interesting question is: are the loops no more than a useful representation or they have a deeper physical meaning? For example, one can build the dual superconductor picture of the confinement in QCD in terms of (chromo) electric field tubes, that is non-Abelian loops. Does this picture hold for the electromagnetic interaction? Lattice QED exhibits a confining-deconfining transition, although in principle, ordinary continuum QED has only one non-confining phase. However, there are studies which indicate that also there is a phase transition for QED in the continuum [17]. In addition to the usual weak coupling phase, a strong coupling confining phase exists above a critical coupling  $\alpha_c$ . This new phase could explain a mysterious collection of data from heavy ion collisions [18]-[22]. The unexpected feature is the observation of positron-electron resonances with narrow peak energy in the range of 1.4-1.8 MeV. This suggest the existence of 'electro-mesons' in a strongly coupled phase of QED. Moreover, a new two-phases model of continuum QED and a mass formula for taking account of the positronium spectrum in the strong coupling phase has been regarded recently [23]. Thus, in principle, we can speculate about the existence in nature of abelian electric tubes providing a real support for abelian loops.

Another point is the fact that now we have a Lagrangian lattice loop description which allows continuing the exploration of gauge theories and complementing our previous Hamiltonian studies [7],[9].

Also, we are interested in extending the loop action in such a way to include matter fields. The pointed out parallelism between the *loop* and the *BKT* representations can be used as an heuristic guide in order to accomplish this task. This correspondence turns into an even closer one when we consider both representations of the same model: the Scalar compact QED. In a companion letter we exploit this point and show how to include matter fields into the Lagrangian formalism.

We wish to thank R.Gambini for useful discussions and comments.

## APPENDIX

A  $k$ -form is a function defined on the  $k$ -cells of the lattice ( $k=0$  sites,  $k=1$  links,  $k=2$  cubes, etc.) over an abelian group which can be  $\mathbf{R}$ ,  $\mathbf{Z}$ , or  $U(1)=\text{reals module } 2\pi$ . Integer forms can be considered geometrical objects on the lattice. For instance, a 1-form is a path and the integer value on a link is the number of times that the path traverses this link.  $\nabla$  is the co-border operator which maps  $k$ -forms onto  $(k+1)$ -forms. It is the gradient operator when acting on scalar functions (0-forms) and it is the rotational on vector functions (1-forms). We consider the scalar product of  $k$ -forms defined  $\langle \alpha | \beta \rangle = \sum_{c_p} \alpha(c) \beta(c)$  where the sum runs over the  $k$ -cells of the lattice. Under this product the  $\nabla$  operator is adjoint to the border operator  $\partial$  which maps  $k$ -forms onto  $(k-1)$ -forms and which corresponds to minus times the usual divergence operator. The operator  $\square = \nabla \partial + \partial \nabla$  is called the Laplacian and differs only by a minus sign of the current Laplacian  $\Delta_\mu \Delta_\mu$ .

A useful tool to consider is the duality transformation which maps bijectively  $k$ -forms over  $(D-k)$ -forms. We denote by  $*p_{c_{D-k}}$  the dual form of the  $p_{c_k}$  form. Under duality the border and co-border operators interchange.

In the loop theory exposed before, physical configurations correspond to distributions of spatial loops at different times. These are spatial closed integer 1-forms. Then we consider only forms  $c$  which are 0 for temporal links and closed ( $\partial c = 0$ ). The border and co-border operators can be restricted to the spatial sections ( $t=\text{constant}$ ) and we denote the spatial Laplacian as  $\Delta$ .

## References

- [1] R.Gambini and A.Trias, Phys.Rev.D **22** (1980) 1380.
- [2] R.Gambini and A.Trias, Phys.Rev.D **23** (1981) 553.
- [3] A.Ashtekar, V.Husain, C.Roveli, J.Samuel and L.Smolín, Class.Quant.Grav. **6** L185 (1989).
- [4] R.Gambini and A.Trias, Nuc. Phys. **B278** (1986) 436.
- [5] R.Gambini, L.Leal and A.Trias, Phys.Rev.**D39** (1989) 3127.
- [6] C. Di Bartolo, R.Gambini and A.Trias, Phys.Rev.**D39** (1989) 1756.
- [7] J.M.Aroca and H.Fort, Phys.Lett.**B299** (1993) 305; J.M.Aroca and H.Fort, Phys.Lett.**B317** (1993) 604.
- [8] D.Armand Ugon, R.Gambini, J.Griego and L.Setaro, Preprint July, 1993.
- [9] J.M.Aroca and H.Fort to appear in Phys.Lett.**B**, Preprint UAB-FT-322/93.
- [10] A. H. Guth, Phys.Rev.**D21** (1980) 2291.
- [11] R.Savit, Rev.Mod.Phys.**52** (1980) 453.
- [12] A.K. Bukenov, U.J. Wiese, M.I.Polikarpov and A.V. Pochinskii , Phys.At.Nucl.**56** (1993) 122.
- [13] T. Banks, R. Myerson and J.B. Kogut, Nucl. Phys. **B129** (1977) 493.
- [14] H.B.Nielsen and P.Olesen, Nucl. Phys. **B61** (1973) 45.
- [15] J.M.Aroca and H. Fort Preprint UAB-FT-329/94.
- [16] M.I.Polikarpov U.J.Wiese and M.A.Zubkov, Phys.Lett. , **B309** (1993) 133.

- [17] T. Maskawa and H. Nakajima, Progr.Theor.Phys. **52** (1974) 1326;  
T. Maskawa and H. Nakajima, Progr.Theor.Phys.**54** (1975) 860;  
R.Fukuda and T.Kugo, Nucl.Phys.**B117** (1976) 250; V.A.Miransky,  
Nuovo Cimento **90A** (1985) 149; V.A.Miransky and P.I.Fomin,  
Sov.J.Part.Nucl.**16** (1985) 203;
- [18] J. Schweppe et al, Phys.Rev.Lett.**51** (1983) 2261.
- [19] M.Clemente et al, Phys.Lett.**B137** (1984) 41.
- [20] T. Cowan et al, Phys.Rev.Lett.**54** (1985) 1761; T. Cowan et al,  
Phys.Rev.Lett.**56** (1986) 444.
- [21] H. Tsertos et al, Phys.Lett.**B162** (1985) 273; Z.Phys.**A326** (1987) 235.
- [22] T. Cowan and J.Greenberg,in *Physics of Strong Fields* edited by  
W.Greiner (Plenum, New York, 1987).
- [23] M. Awada and D. Zoller, Nucl. Phys. **B365** 699 (1993).